

**VILLAGE OF DRESSER
POLK COUNTY
VILLAGE BOARD PROCEEDINGS**

Pursuant to due call and notice thereof, a Village Board meeting was held on Monday, April 6, 2015, in the Municipal Office, 102 West Main Street. Bryan Beseler called the meeting to order at 6:30 PM. Beseler called for Roll Call: Jim Rochford Jr., Darron Nelson(Arrived Late), Elina Kuusisto, Wayne Moberg, Richard Durand, Grace Bjorklund, and Bryan Beseler were all present. Also present Attorney Tim Laux, Jodi A. Gilbert-Clerk, Ryan Haass–Police, Steve Jacobs–Public Works Supervisor, Amy Middleton, Dean Alling, Dean & Sharon Sievers, Brock Geyen-CliftonLarsonAllen LLP, Scott Peckman, Richard Vollmer, Neil Gustafson, and approximately 30(thirty) additional people from the public. The Standard Press/Ledger, The InterCounty Leader, and The Osceola Sun newspapers were all present. Salute to the Flag was given.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Motion Rochford/Durand to dispense with the reading of the minutes. All in Favor. Motion Carried. Motion Bjorklund/Kuusisto to approve the minutes of the Village Board Meeting, March 2, 2015. Bjorklund pointed out a typo under “Preregistered – Motion for the DOG Fire Dept to close the 3rd lane and it says land. Gilbert will correct.” There was a second request from Ryan McInnes to change what he had stated under public comments. Motion was to include the two changes as noted. All in Favor. Motion Carried. Motion Beseler/Moberg to approve the minutes of the Plan Commission Meeting, March 16, 2015. All in Favor. Motion Carried.

CITIZEN COMMENTS: Beseler called for any citizen comments. Comments should be limited to three minutes. Trishia Carlson – 896 207th Street read the following statement: “My name is Trishia Carlson & I live at 896 207th Street in Dresser. I am here tonight to show my support for the boundary agreement resolution that is on your agenda tonight. I just want to share a few reasons why I am in support of this boundary agreement that I have taken right from the Wisconsin State Statutes 66.0307(3)(b) and from the WI Department of Administration website. The purpose of this boundary agreement is to accomplish a harmonious development of the territory consistent with the comprehensive plan of the Town of Osceola and with the Village of Dresser. This means the Village of Dresser and the Town of Osceola would work together on what is best for both of our communities – we would all be coherent in developing this plan. The Wisconsin Dept. of Administration website lists numerous benefits to having cooperative boundary plans such as: Cooperative, boundary plans provide a chance to focus on shared values, points of agreement, and solutions that can benefit everyone. Another benefit is saving money, a cooperative boundary plan can save money by avoiding costly litigation. Also, the plan can identify service sharing opportunities and avoid costly duplication of services and capital facilities. And another benefit is enforceable; the state approves these plans & is also responsible for defending the plan against appeal. In addition, State & regional issues would be addressed up front. This provides a great opportunity to coordinate state and regional plans, projects, and programs with local community activities and desires. For example, it helps to ensure that the state and regional permits and approvals necessary for development will be available when needed. There are other benefits listed too such as Flexibility, Certainty, Participation, and more. I support the boundary agreements and hope that the Village of Dresser Board will also see all the positives this agreement would bring – and vote in favor of the resolution tonight.” John Fogelberg – 207th Street stated – I support that boundary agreement. Beseler called for any more citizen comments. Nothing else was stated. Beseler closed citizen comments.

PREREGISTERED: Resolution #01-2015 - A Resolution for Bike Route Stenciling in the Village of Dresser was discussed. Beseler turned the discussion over to Amy Middleton. I was here last winter and you sent me home with some assignments including this resolution. The map that you got now reflects 10 stencils – when I brought the map originally there were going to be 8 stencils. I added two at the end of East Avenue so they know they are on the trail. The City of St Croix Falls is loaning us their stencil. This an example of what will be painted on the roads and since the City of St Croix Falls is already using it things will be consistent through out the trail. I did redraft the Resolution to reflect how many stencils and who is paying for it. The Town of

Osceola is paying for paint and future maintenance. Any other discussion? Middleton stated depending on the weather I hope to start painting in May. It was asked is the paint reflective? It is stencil paint for highways. Beseler asked when the Town of Osceola met to discuss this project did you discuss the legality of painting on streets. Middleton stated Grantsburg is going down Main Street and County Roads in their area. Doesn't appear to be anything illegal with it. Doug Schmidt stated this is a win/win for everyone, people stopping at businesses in Dresser. Beseler asked Jacobs to enlighten the board with his concerns. Jacobs stated based on the Uniform Traffic Control you have bike lanes and bike routes. I tried to highlight the differences. Stencils are used for bike lanes and specifically marked. There are 3 ways to use the stencil Jacobs continued and based on this information we are not doing bike lanes. Middleton agreed. Jacobs continued so with a bike route you use signs. Middleton stated we looked at signage and due to the cost we are now here discussing painting. Beseler stated we are here tonight and I would accept this as the first reading on the Resolution. I ask Attorney Tim Laux to give me his legal opinion during the next 30 days and we bring this back to the May meeting. We are just that much closer to finalizing this. Middleton asked if there is a sign component to this we are going to have to discuss further. Beseler stated this can come back next month for a final reading. Is there anything else for Middleton. Thank you.

Discussion was held in regards to the request from Dean Alling(317 State St W) to use of the Dresser Hall for a Benefit for Mike Alling on Saturday, May 30, 2015. Alling stated I live at 317 State St W and my brother recently had an operation on the side of his head and has many health problems. We would like to do a benefit on May 30th. There would be no alcohol. We may serve food. I am here to discuss whether you would be willing to donate the use of the hall or part of the hall fees. Beseler asked was Mike a resident of the Village. Alling stated I have been here for 30+ years. Beseler stated traditionally for resident we have waived part of the fees. I believe last month we waived ½ the fee. I put this out to the board for discussion. Bjorklund stated in view to the fact that I know that Dean and his wife have lived here for many years I think that should be a valued component to do for him like we did last month. Is that a motion? Bjorklund stated yes. Motion Bjorklund/Durand to waive 50% of the Dresser Community Hall fee for Dean Alling to hold a benefit for Mike Alling on May 30, 2015 in light of Allings long term residency within the Village. No further discussion took place. All in Favor. Motion Carried.

Discussion was held in regards to a letter from Dean & Sharon Sievers(206 W South Street) in regards to a Storm Water Easement. Dean Sievers stated we have gotten tired of our basement flooding 3 times. There is an easement for storm water and it had to be done. We had it done – tore it out and put in a 3 foot berm, a rubber mat and covered it in rock and hopefully that should do it. The city could help us out with the cost -\$5,365.00. Sievers submitted a total bill of \$9,932.82. Beseler stated we should refer it to an insurance claim. Sharon Sievers stated every time we get really bad rains the water backs up and we have to put a lot of money into it to do all of the repairs. We now have drain spouts into the yard. Sharon Sievers continued we had Steve from the Village come and look at it to make sure it was done correctly and he said that it was not done properly originally. We didn't know when we bought the house that we were going to have all of these problems. On the bill we are submitting we are asking for \$5,365.00, which was the work on the easement. We had additional work done – the landscaping had to be redone, the patio had to be replaced due to flooding. We are asking for help with the cost of the drainage easement. Beseler accepted the bill and stated we will refer this to the Village's insurance. Dean Sievers stated we aren't in a flood plain so we can't get additional insurance. There is so much sand from the winter. There are over 6 places that come into our yard. Beseler stated we will accept the Bill and refer it to insurance and see what happens. Dean Sievers stated thank you.

Page 3 of 8 – Village Board Proceedings – April 6, 2015

Brock Geyen-CliftonLarsonAllen LLP – Presentation of the 2014 Village of Dresser Audit Report was given. Geyen passed out a 3 page summary. Overall we come in with testing and found that the records were in good order. I will go over our opinions and a few highlights. 1. We will issue what is known as an “unmodified” audit opinion. The amounts are materially correct. We issue a management letter and there are 3 items on it, which are very common for a municipality your size. We can’t be in control, but we draft the financial statements. We propose journal entries. There is also a lack of segregation of duties. You need to be aware from an accounting stand point we can’t segregate and so you need to review things on a monthly basis. Any material audit adjustments over \$2,500.00 would come to your attention. 2. The General Fund shows a 4 year comparison and you can see the trend. Cash dropped from \$551,819 in 2013 to \$446,394 at the end of 2014 and this is not necessarily due to operations. You overall had a change in fund balance of (\$7,513.00). For the budget of your size you did have some capital items. Your Utilities are being leveraged by your General Fund. They are borrowing from the General Fund decreasing your cash by approximately \$105,000. The Fund Balance has 3 categories. The nonspendable is money lent to the utilities - \$207,735.00. The assigned is money set aside for items or projects \$170,692.00. This past year you bought a police vehicle, a public works truck, and did a LRIP project. Then you have your true reserve of unassigned \$247,527.00 of which you lent to the Utilities \$207,735.00. When you take the unassigned \$247,527.00 and compare it to the expenses for the year \$579,131 you then see how you are doing. You have a 42.7% ratio. This would be 4-5 months of reserves. You don’t want to go below 33%. You are above that, but if you take another big hit it will not be good. It will start impacting the budget. 3. Special Revenue Funds. You have the revolving loan fund and you had some collections this past year that have yet to be disbursed. You also have the Library Fund. 4. Debt Service Fund – You have met all of your requirements. 5. Water Utility. Cash and Investments have been adjusted to zero since 2012. You do have some capital, but it is tied up in Assets. That is the equipment and infrastructure, which depreciates over time. The unrestricted (\$50,553.00) – it has gone negative the past two years. Operating Results you are showing 4 years of loss. There has been no cash flow since 2011. You have recently filed with the PSC to look at your rates. The PSC sets those rates for you. You should see the results of that in the next 3 to 4 months. Sewer Utility – the results have not changed. You have been zero since 2008. Operating Results is (\$92,105.00). So in turn losses accumulate and have driven cash down and your unrestricted is at (\$180,865.00). You are currently in a 3 phase step increase in sewer rates that started January 1 2015. Beseler asked from a financial stand point do you agree the Village needed to do something with utility rates. Geyen stated based on the operating results and the draw on the General Fund this is causing problems. There could be cash flow issues for the Village. It needs to be addressed urgently. 6. One more section – Long Term Obligations. Principal and interest payments have been made reducing liabilities. There was a spike for the meter project. The last row shows how much debt based on a percentage of limitation. This is based on equalized value. That is the summary of what I wanted to discuss. I can answer any questions if you have any. Bjorklund stated I do understand where the deficits on the water and sewer have come from. Durand stated it will turn around a little bit each year. Beseler stated I hope the numbers do turn around soon.

ACTIONS AND COMMITTEE REPORTS

PLAN COMMISSION: Discussion took place in regards to the recommendation from the Plan Commission to approve the Site Plan as proposed for Scott & Natalie Peckman for a new building to be constructed at 520 State Road 35 North. Beseler stated Peckman is here. Is there anything you want to add? Peckman stated it is as presented. You have the minutes from the Plan Commission. Beseler stated he has come before us twice. Things were looked at from all different angles. You will see from the minutes that things passed unanimously. One item that was discussed was a stone faced front, but from a financial stand point it was left out. It was discussed that it could easily be modified in the future if someone else bought the building. If you remember the concept of a building came about last year when we approved a temporary license for retail sales. They have come forward in a good way on a fast time line and things are moving forward. It will be nice to see a permanent business in the Village. Motion Rochford/Moberg to accept the recommendation from the Plan Commission to approve the Site Plan as proposed by Scott & Natalie Peckman for a new building to be constructed at 520 State Road 35 North. No further discussion took place. All in Favor. Motion Carried.

Page 4 of 8 – Village Board Proceedings – April 6, 2015

PUBLIC WORKS: Public Works Monthly Report – March 2015 was presented to the board. Jacobs stated the report is in your packets. I would like to add under projects the following: Wednesday we will have the Street Sweeping done by Osceola if it is not snowing. Fahrner will be doing the Crack Sealing on State Street from MM to 100th Avenue and Nelson Avenue. We have contracted with them for \$2.00/lb. for 3,400 lbs. If there is any remaining it will go on West Avenue over by the school. I have nothing else. Later on the agenda you will see we have our new sewer cleaner and it is outside – this is a good investment for the Village. Beseler asked if there were any questions. Bjorklund stated I do a lot of walking and I have noticed damage to yards – when will you be doing some restoration. Jacobs stated we have put the broom on and plan to start working on it. We want to get all of the roads cleaned up. Bjorklund stated Ok but what about the big clumps. Jacobs stated yes I will take care of it. Also on East Avenue you had that freeze up late in the fall and the cement/debris is still sitting there. Jacobs stated last week we were going to take care of it and it was still frozen. Bjorklund continued you also had the trees at the water tower that were taken out – when do you plan on grinding out those stumps? Jacobs stated it hasn't been scheduled yet. Bjorklund stated OK and just one more thing. We now have the new sewer cleaner and last year none of the sewer lines were cleaned. When are we going to clean sewers? Are we doing it once or twice a year? Jacobs stated it will be incorporated between hydrant/line flushing. It will take more than a week to do.

Ordinance #245-2015 An Ordinance Amending a Part of the Municipal Utilities Code and Providing for the Abandonment of Certain Private Wells was presented to the board. Laux stated there are several small typos that have already been corrected. I have taken out the last 3 lines of section 2 – we have no authority to regulate surrounding municipalities and we don't have any parcels that are served by municipal water. The sample that Steve and Ken Scherer from the DNR provided did have some good information in it. The sample that they provided had a definitions section and I incorporated that into the revision on 13.13. There were several other areas that are closely related and having them in the code is beneficial. There is a penalty section at the end of paragraph 6 and I have beefed up the enforcement provisions and language. The additions are underlined. Once again that wording came from sample that Steve and Ken provided. Overall this is an upgrade to what we already had in the Ordinances. Motion Bjorklund/Rochford to amend the rules waiving two readings 2.10(b). All in Favor. Motion Carried. Motion Durand/Rochford to amend the rules to allow an immediate vote 2.10(2). All in Favor. Motion Carried. Motion Rochford/Moberg to pass and approve Ordinance #245-2015. Roll Call Vote. All members of the board present voted yes. All in Favor. Motion Carried.

Ordinance #246-2015 An Ordinance Amending a Part of the Municipal Utilities Code Relating to Private Well Operation Permits. Motion Rochford/Durand to amend the rules waiving two readings 2.10(b). All in Favor. Motion Carried. Motion Bjorklund/Moberg to amend the rules to allow an immediate vote 2.10(2). All in Favor. Motion Carried. Motion Kuusisto/Moberg to pass and approve Ordinance #246-2015. Discussion took place. Laux stated this section talks about the availability under certain circumstances for a property that is served by the municipal water utility to obtain a well operation permit. Makes it possible for a property in the Village that is not served to receive a permit. There were specific changes to this section. The fundamental change requires that if a well operation permit is approved – it is approved with conditions up to five years and the well has to be in compliance with standards that are set up in the Ordinance at all times. The Village has the right to monitor that compliance on wells that may be approved. At the end of it on the bottom of the second page it places the burden on the applicant that the proposed use is necessary etc..... You basically already have this information in the existing code. The Public Works Committee looked at the sample from the state and those conditions are on the second page. These changes make it clearer to administrate. What is the intent of doing all of this? It made sense to keep it separate since we do have properties that are not served by the Utility and for whom it is cost prohibited – more expensive to run new pipe versus a well operation permit. The application from Trap Rock Mining last year is a perfect example. We used this section in the current Ordinance to review that well. This section has been clarified and beefed up. Roll Call Vote was taken. All members of the board present voted yes. All in Favor. Motion Carried.

Page 5 of 8 – Village Board Proceedings – April 6, 2015

The new Trailer Mounted High Pressure Sewer Cleaner has been received and is operational. The machine will be paid for this coming month.

Motion Bjorklund/Moberg to approve Spring Solid Waste Clean Up with Waste Management to be held April 27th – May 14th. The maximum amount a resident can dump is 1,000 lbs. Authorization form required through the Clerk's Office. It was stated that this is a well used program for residents that take advantage of. All in Favor. Motion Carried.

Motion Nelson/Bjorklund to offer Free Brush Chipping to residents during the 3rd week of each month from April through October and free use of the Dresser Public Works Compost Transfer Site for leaves and grass clippings. This again is a well used program. The schedule for chipping can be found on the Village Web Page Calendar. All in Favor. Motion Carried.

FINANCE: Motion Rochford/Nelson to approve the monthly Vouchers #34322 - #34391 Totaling \$72,205.70. Roll Call Vote was taken. All members of the board present voted yes. Motion Carried.

Spectrum Insurance Group presented a certificate to the Village – In Recognition for outstanding injury free performance – 2015 – Lowest Possible Experience Modification. Beseler stated keep it up staff. Stay safe and it reflect in our rates.

Discussion was held regarding a Draft Employee Performance Evaluation Policy. Rochford stated this is an employee evaluation – it was taken from other Village or Town policies in the State of WI. It is a self evaluation where the staff fills it out and turns it in – the personnel committee reviews and then meets with the staff person. Everyone is on the same page and communication is going both ways. Bjorklund stated is this amended into the handbook? Laux stated it is just a Policy. Rochford stated there is no time line on this – should they be done annually for each employee is the question. Beseler stated I appreciate the work from Rochford. I was surprised that we have no evaluation policy with the employees. This procedure will help cover expectations of the Village and the Employee. It is a great opportunity for some dialogue. Those that are supervisors will implement this procedure with their employees. Durand stated we did this for 24 years at Dobby and it really makes a difference. Any other discussion. Motion Bjorklund/Rochford to approve an employee performance evaluation policy. All in Favor. Motion Carried.

Discussion was held in regards to a proposal from CIVIC Systems to convert Utility Billing from Quarterly to Monthly Billing in anticipation of PSC Tariff Changes. The PSC application has been submitted. Currently the CIVIC Software is a quarterly program. There will be a little bit of expense to get that up and running for a monthly billing process. This can be an FYI tonight or it could be approved contingent on PSC approval to go to monthly billing or just approved. It is an investment we will have to make and it would be a lot more expensive to go to a new software package. Bjorklund asked is this on site training or to me the 20 hours sounds high. Beseler stated that they to change a lot of formulas to make everything work. The 20 hours is an estimate based on other municipalities. Do we want to take action tonight or wait to hear from the PSC? Monthly billing is the answer and the direction we are going. Motion Rochford/Moberg to approve the proposal from CIVIC Systems to convert Utility Billing from Quarterly to Monthly Billing contingent on PSC approval. All in Favor. Motion carried.

LIBRARY: The Library Board minutes from the February 9 and March 9, 2015 meetings were presented to the Board. Rochford stated last month I gave you January's by mistake. Bjorklund asked what is the status on the Library Building Committee that was put on the back burner when Tiffany left. Beseler stated I have not had a conversation with the new Library Director to see if she is interested in moving this forward. Bjorklund stated it is just that people are wondering if it is going to happen. This was just informational for me. Beseler stated the committee does still exist and will move forward. I need to sit down and have a discussion with the Library

Page 6 of 8 – Village Board Proceedings – April 6, 2015

Director to see if she is willing to spear head this and if she is not interested then who can now head it up. I will make a mental note that I need to see that this gets going by next month.

PUBLIC SAFETY: The Building Inspector Report for March 2015 was presented to the board.

The Police Department March 2015 Report was presented to the board. Haass stated spring is here and it is getting busier. The new part time officer should have uniforms by the end of the week.

PUBLIC WELFARE: Ordinance #247-2015 An Ordinance Amending a Part of the Village Board Code Relating a Citizen's Right to Address the Board. Beseler gave some background on this topic. Last month I said we would bring an update to public comments back to the board. When we got rid of Section 2.05 in the Ordinances that particular section was referenced in another section of our code and I did not realize that. This is in reference to preregistered versus public comment. When we eliminated section 2.05 the sole purpose was to get rid of the order of a meeting agenda in which public comments were at the end of the agenda. It was decided that we didn't need this section so we got rid of it. The unintended consequences were the impact on the rest of this chapter. I provided a draft to Laux and inserted public comments – pre registered. Didn't really change it and supplemented some language. Section (3)(a) citizen was modified to be gender neutral. Laux stated there is one timing change in here that is of any substance. In the middle of 2.15(1)(b)(1) Citizens register with the clerk before the meeting and it was suggested to change the wording to before the meeting agenda is published. Reasons should be fairly obvious – you want some type of notice when preregistered. Gilbert gets an agenda out and no notice then no preregistration. This allows for structure and people can plan accordingly. Any questions. Motion Durand/Nelson to amend the rules waiving two readings 2.10(b). All in Favor. Motion Carried. Motion Kuusisto/Bjorklund to amend the rules to allow an immediate vote 2.10(2). All in Favor. Motion Carried. Motion Durand/Moberg to pass and approve Ordinance #247-2015. Just a note on this the purpose of 2.15 is not to restrict this body but to structure this body. Clearly citizens have no right to address board, but we have chosen to do so. Roll Call Vote was taken. All members of the board present voted yes. All in Favor. Motion Carried.

OTHER BUSINESS: Resolution #02-2015 A Resolution To Commence Negotiations For A Cooperative Boundary Agreement Pursuant to Wisconsin Statue Section 66.0307 Between the Village of Dresser and the Town of Osceola, Polk County, Wisconsin. Beseler stated last month during my monthly report I brought to your attention that the Town of Osceola was considering approving a boundary agreement. At last months Plan Commission meeting I shared some information with them and that same information I am sharing with you tonight. I went to the Town of Osceola's meeting and had sent it to their Plan Commission and then back to their board meeting where they agreed to enter into discussions with the Village of Dresser. This is where we are at. Beseler turned the discussion over to Doug Schmidt. Schmidt stated we did talk about this and thank you for having us at your meeting. The Board sent it to Plan Commission and in turn they sent it back to us on the Osceola Board where we appointed two members. First was Mike Wallace who is the authority on this. If you have any technical questions talk to Mike. On the technical end of it I refer everything to him. The other person is someone you are familiar with Neil Gustafson who was previously on your Dresser Board. He knows the needs of both communities. We are very well represented. There are a number of advantages for having a cooperative agreement. This will not happen over night. Dresser will need to appoint two members. The planning period that is recommended is a year and half with our recommendation to complete in one year. Should you pass this resolution this would be a win/win situation. For instance if some annexation would come before a board you may have a lot of expenses before you especially if you have to hire lawyers to give you legal advice. This way the two boards can talk and put something together and bring it back to both boards. I will turn this discussion back to Beseler and if there are any technical questions Mr. Wallace is very versed. Beseler stated we could do a couple of things. We can take action tonight. Osceola has given us enough information on why this is a good idea. I would just like to clear the air. Since there has been a hum of annexation for seven months the purpose of this boundary agreement is not related to that. It may or may not be impacted by this.

Page 7 of 8 – Village Board Proceedings – April 6, 2015

The Town of Osceola and the Village of Dresser will sit down and ask what do you envision we should look like in 10 years. Some areas will be left in the Town of Osceola. It is a long process on purpose. The Department of Administration reviews the agreement on behalf of the State of WI. Everyone gets input and we can't come to an agreement then it ends. Keep in mind this is a 10 year or longer plan. What do we think Dresser should look like in the future? Our Comprehensive Plan is going to help us get there. We will look at where we don't match and there will be some give and take. In an annexation the Village is in the driver seat. There will be incentives for us in a boundary agreement. Let's just say a property is going to annex into the Village – they could say when that happens they will waive the five year pay back of taxes to Osceola. It can ensure the viability of towns. There are a lot of good examples. This is not an over night process. It is a long process and it could impact potential annexation positively or negatively. Mike Wallace stated there are examples out there that you can reference and read. In one example it breaks the town into 3 areas. In the expansion area the two municipalities expect urban development. The town won't contest it and won't take the taxes. There are other parts that will never be annexed over the life of the agreement. Wallace continued I would hope Laux from the legal side would be a better expert. I know just what I have been reading. Another agreement is between New Richmond and Star Prairie. Some agreements allow annexation of property between municipalities even when the property is not contiguous. If the Department of Administration approves it some of the rules of annexation can be tweaked. Not sure what it is going to look like at this time. Beseler stated our Resolution mirrors the Town of Osceola. At this time I don't have the names for the two appointments. Should this Resolution pass it will be on the agenda next month for who will be on the committee. Beseler continued if I have learned anything annexation is contentious and if we would have a cooperative boundary agreement a lot of these issues would have been hashed out up front. The Village could refer this back to Plan Commission, but Osceola has done a lot of the work. We have recently worked with them on the Summit Road issue and that was a positive experience and a positive impact on the public. Bjorklund asked if Attorney Laux was Ok with the Resolution. Laux stated it is Ok. This process is set out by State Statue. Motion Bjorklund/Durand to move Resolution #02-2015 into immediate consideration. All in Favor. Motion Carried. Motion Bjorklund/Moberg to pass and approve Resolution #02-2015. Any further discussion. Rochford asked in the end this goes back to each board for acceptance or not does it need a super majority or what type of vote is needed. Beseler looked at the cooperative approval check list – WI Statue says that the citizens can request an advisory referendum. If it were to go to referendum it would only be advisory. Note it is important during this discussion that if we enter into this process no annexation request can be considered by either municipality. Any further discussion. Roll Call Vote was taken. All members of the board present voted yes. All in Favor. Motion Carried.

Dresser was named a 2014 Tree City USA by the Arbor Day Foundation.

The St Croix Valley Municipal Association has returned monies to the Village of Dresser in the amount of \$142.05. Beseler stated they are no longer a municipal association and they took the dues and paid it back out.

Discussion was held regarding who would be doing the training for the upcoming Board of Review. Bjorklund stated that she would be willing to do the training. Gilbert is obtaining a DVD from the State that can be viewed at home.

Spring Election to be held on Tuesday, April 7, 2015 polls open 7AM – 8PM

Dresser Community Wide Garage Sales will be held May 14, 15, & 16 – 2015. Contact Carmen if you want to be on the map.

Monthly update from Village President, Bryan Beseler. 1. Beseler stated I believe you have all noticed the odor in the air. I have a draft Resolution that Linc Duncanson helped write. It has been bad this year so far. I have talked with Mike @ F&A Dairy and the DNR. The Village itself has no regulation over the lagoons. The DNR regulates and we should expect F&A Dairy to be in compliance. In the past F&A Dairy was told to pump

Page 8 of 8 – Village Board Proceedings – April 6, 2015

20,000 gallons to Dresser or their permit would be revoked, but financially it didn't work. We need to have a true plan and I agree to sit down and discuss. The DNR is having F&A Dairy install a system to put more air into the ponds. I want the residents to know things are being done. We could establish an air quality Ordinance, but at the same time want to keep a working relationship with a local business. 2. Now that the new evaluation process has passed. Steve is working to complete his by Friday and I will be scheduling a Closed Finance/Personnel Committee Meeting. 3. Steve can you take a look at the rock in Silver Ridge. It looks like we have Gravel Roads. Also are there problems with the chip seal.

MAY AGENDA BUSINESS: Bjorklund asked when reviewing the committees for the next year we need to take Dan Nord off the list and could Public Works talk about Flags for the Streets. Beseler stated Finance Committee could talk about Flags when they meet. Beseler reminded the board that they need to be present for Board of Review on May 18th at 6PM.

NEXT MEETINGS:

Library Board Meeting, Monday, April 13, 2015 at 6:30 PM
DOG Fire Association Meeting, Thursday, April 16, 2015
Osceola Ambulance Meeting, Thursday, April 23, 2015
Arbor Day at Dresser Elementary School, April 24, 2015
Village Board Meeting, Monday, May 4, 2015 at 6:30 PM
Open Book – Monday, May 18, 2015 3:30 PM – 5:30 PM
Board of Review – Monday, May 18, 2015 at 6:00 PM(Legally have to be open for 2 hours)

ADJOURNMENT: Motion Beseler/Rochford to adjourn at 8:15 PM. All in Favor. Motion Carried.
Jodi A. Gilbert - Village Clerk *These minutes have not been approved.*